

Afon Teifi Fairways Ltd

Meeting of the Board

Friday 23rd April 2021, 6.30pm (outside at Registered Office)

Present:

Directors - Nigel Smith (NS) (Chair), Paul Oakley (PO), Tony Bailey (TBai), Len James (LJ), Jonathan Jones (JJ), Tony Barber (TBar) (from the point marked #)

Others – Carolyn Oakley (CO), Finance, Helen Rowlands (HR), Admin.

CCC=Ceredigion County Council JT = Jon Turner LC = Lea Colman NRW = Natural Resources Wales

MHW = Mean High Water

The meeting had been called solely to discuss the work on sand removal at the spit, and related financial matters.

1. Apologies	None were received	ACTION
2. Finance report And clarification of payments to be made.	<p>C Oakley wanted to clarify the agreed payments to be made to T Bailey as Asst. Navigation Officer, and for the Patrol Boat.</p> <p>It was agreed that for the use of his Patrol Boat, P Oakley would be paid £1000 for the year, plus fuel expenses.</p> <p>Rather than a previously agreed £18 per hour, T Bailey would be paid £500 for the year.</p> <p>J Jones asked about the payments to L James for the many hours he spent for ATFL on the river. It was agreed that, in addition to his Mooring Master fee, LJ would be paid £18 an hour.</p> <p>CO emphasised that a log must be kept for all ATFL hours on the river.</p> <p>All these arrangements were subject to a review in a years' time.</p> <p>The question was raised about the remuneration for the Chief Executive roll. J Marsden had received this and it was now agreed by all (except PO and CO, having an interest) that the sum of £1125 would be the remuneration for the Chief Exec roll undertaken by PO.</p> <p>CO reported that Ceredigion County Council had not increased the cost of the lease as yet.</p> <p>CO would now prepare the budget for approval by the Board. This would later be presented to the Shareholders.</p>	
3. Sand Removal at the pontoon and spit area.	<p>PO reported that he had spoken with John Turner (JT) of Natural Resources Wales and he had confirmed that sand can only be put above Mean High Water and cannot be taken away from the river.</p> <p>'Emma', senior to J Turner has said the material can be put above MHW anywhere in the estuary.</p> <p>There was much discussion over the options of where to place the spoil and when to do the work.</p> <p>PO proposed putting the material along the wall of Coronation Drive.</p> <p>T Bailey didn't think placing the sand here would be positive and it will all move back to the pontoon area. He thought work should be left until June.</p> <p>PO thought this movement of sand would take some time. J Jones thought it should be tried for this year. All acknowledged the sand would eventually move back down, but most felt it was worth doing this work now.</p>	

	<p>Lea Colman (LC) has quoted £3800 (plus vat) to do the work. PO said LC insisted he was given written permission to do the work prior to starting. HR would work this, from the Chairman. It was hoped to do the work the first weekend in May.</p> <p>PO needed to give a sketch and a report of the proposal to JT by Monday.</p> <p>PO reported that JT had said the ATFL could reinstate the 'backbone' of the spit. The idea of 'posts' suggested by ATFL would not be acceptable. Big stones would be possible but too costly for ATFL, and the area is the actually the responsibility of CCC. #</p> <p>J Jones raised the issue of the 'mound' of material just below the old bridge. PO said that this was marked, and had been reported to CCC, whose responsibility the area was.</p> <p>When a vote was taken there was no support for leaving the work until June. All, except T Bai, agreed to PO's proposal to put the material along the top of Coronation Drive. The additional work of moving stone to the top of the spit would be at least £1000 and this would be discussed another time.</p>	<p>HR</p> <p>PO</p>
<p>4. Next Meeting</p>	<p>This would be called when needed, in about a months' time.</p>	